I agree that the document is ready for public review

Andy Williamson

This sounds great! I agree with the changes.

Sincerely,

Linda Linthicum

Bob – I am in agreement with the report.

Colin

I have reviewed the draft and the changes and agree the report is ready to be posted for public review when the rest of the committee has also reviewed it.

For the remaining committee members, please indicate either 1) agreement with the report, 2) a concern that can be resolved via email, or 3) a concern that requires a meeting to resolve.

Thanks,
Dear WQR Committee members,

Thank you for your comments on the draft 2013 Annual Report. I have made the changes and / or clarification as requested in the attached second draft of the 2013 Annual Report dated January 30, 2014. Please review this latest version and let me know if you agree with the contents of this 2013 Annual Report.

As soon as there is mutual agreement, I will finalize it so that we can make it available for public review and comment during a two week public comment period as we did last year. I will email all interested citizens who provided their emails to me at previous WQR Committee meetings (to let them know that it’s available on the City’s website for public review and comment), and the City will make it available on their website with instructions to submit all public comments to: publicworks@ci.blackdiamond.wa.us.

Here’s a brief summary of what I have changed/clarified in the attached draft Annual Report (see my response to the comments in blue):

1. Top of page 7, Is it correct that flow rates were not monitored by Tetra-Tech during the 2012-13 water year?
   - Flow was measured during storm sampling events. I have added the following sentence: “In addition, flow (a water quantity parameter) was measured during storm sampling events.”

2. Page 8, Please provide a reference to a letter or other means that the City provided approval of the compensating project. I acknowledge that Andy already asked a related question about this via email.
   - MPD Condition No. 84 provides, “the Applicant agrees to work cooperatively with the City to identify opportunities where the City can reduce phosphorus sources or improve phosphorus treatment on existing City lands and for existing City owned or maintained stormwater facilities.” Since this condition does not require formal written approval from the City, I have revised the sentence for clarification purposes as follows: “…the Master Developer has worked cooperatively with the City by selecting the Ginder Creek Stormwater Treatment Pond as its compensation project in accordance with MPD Condition of Approval No. 84…”

3. Page 8, Wouldn’t it be appropriate to include the results of the 2012-2013 water quality sampling in the appendix?
   - On page 3, I have added the following sentence: “At the request of Bob Rothschilds (WQR Committee member), Tetra Tech’s 2012-2013 Pre-Construction Stormwater Monitoring Report is attached hereto as Appendix D and the 2013-2014 Expanded Baseline Monitoring Plan approved July 19, 2013 is attached hereto as Appendix E.”

4. Page 9, Wouldn’t it be appropriate to include the plan for the 2013-14 monitoring efforts in the appendix?
• See my response to comment 3 (above). It is now attached as Appendix E.

5. Top of page 11, List of Appendices, I recommend that that Appendices either be included in the same file as the Annual Report or if the Appendices are going to be in files separate from the Annual Report, then note such in the List of Appendices and delete the last three nearly blank appendix pages of the report. Keeping the last three pages without noting the Appendices are in separate files begs the question of whether the Appendices were inadvertently omitted.

• All appendices listed on page 11 will be included in a single PDF document that will be posted on the City’s website during the public comment period. However, due to its large size, I will need to break it up into multiple parts when sending the report and all appendices by email (the PDF is now 18MB due to the addition of appendices D and E). The attachment to this email excludes the contents behind appendices D and E; I will email those to you in a separate email.

Please let me know if you have any follow up questions.

Thank you,

Angela

Angela Hill
Project Coordinator

Yarrow Bay Holdings
10220 NE Points Drive, Suite 310
Kirkland, WA 98033
(425) 898-2121 direct
(425) 898-2139 fax
www.yarrowbayholdings.com

From: Bob Rothschilds [mailto:redshield3@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 19, 2014 9:13 PM
To: Angela Hill; Andy Williamson; Lrlinkicum@comcast.net; Colin Lund - Yarrow Bay Holdings; Al Fure
Cc: Stacey Welsh
Subject: Re: Draft 2013 Water Quality Committee Annual Report

This email contains the draft report with my comments as “sticky notes” on the pdf file.

Thanks,

From: Bob Rothschild
Sent: Sunday, January 19, 2014 9:11 PM
To: Angela Hill; Andy Williamson; Lrlinkicum@comcast.net; Colin Lund - Yarrow Bay Holdings; Al Fure
Cc: Stacey Welsh
Subject: Re: Draft 2013 Water Quality Committee Annual Report

Water Quality Review Committee members,

I have the following comments on our 2013 Annual Report:
1. Top of page 7, Is it correct that flow rates were not monitored by Tetra-Tech during the 2012-13 water year?
2. Page 8, Please provide a reference to a letter or other means that the City provided approval of the compensating project. I acknowledge that Andy already asked a related question about this via email.
3. Page 8, Wouldn't it be appropriate to include the results of the 2012-2013 water quality sampling in the appendix?
4. Page 9, Wouldn't it be appropriate to include the plan for the 2013-14 monitoring efforts in the appendix?
5. Top of page 11, List of Appendices, I recommend that Appendices either be included in the same file as the Annual Report or if the Appendices are going to be in files separate from the Annual Report, then note such in the List of Appendices and delete the last three nearly blank appendix pages of the report. Keeping the last three pages without noting the Appendices are in separate files begs the question of whether the Appendices were inadvertently omitted.

I also included these comments as “sticky notes” in the attached draft Report.

The next step is for Angela to provide resolution to all the comments.

Then if we agree to the resolution then we can approve the final report via email. We can have another meeting if we cannot agree on the resolution.

Thanks,
Bob Rothschilds